| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/500,851 | SENSHU, SUSUMU |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | PETER POLTORAK | 2434 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>PETER POLTORAK</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Andrew Harry</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 September 2010 | Time: <u>10:28 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to amend the Amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |